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## Motivation

A cultural „gap" between two communities.

- Theorem proving:
- Sound formal development of theories on top of a small trusted kernel.
- Computations reduced to logical inferences.
- Correct but inconvenient to use and painfully slow.
- Computer algebra:
- Elaboration of mathematics by paper-and-pencil or TP software.
- Separate implementation in mathematical software systems.
- Convenient to use and reasonably fast but highly untrustworthy.

How can we bridge this gap?

## Our Starting Point: Polynomial Algebra

An Isabelle package in which the working mathematician can develop

- Mathematical theories based on an abstract view of polynomials.
- Type-checked definitions and theorems.
- Computer-supported/mechanically verified proofs.
- Algorithms based on the defined mathematical notions.
- Executable with „reasonable" efficiency (rapid prototyping).
- Formal specification and computer-supported verification.

A single computer-supported formal framework for proving and computing with (multivariate) polynomials.

## Polynomials

What is the polynomial written as $2 x^{3}-5 x+7$ ?

- Traditional: the symbolic expression itself.

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} x^{j}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{m+n}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}^{i+j=k} a_{i} \cdot b_{j}\right) \cdot x^{k}
$$

- Computer science: an array $[7,5,0,3]$

```
int[] mult(int[] a, int[] b)
{
    int m = a.length-1; int n = b.length-1;
    int[] c = new int[m+n+1];
    for (int i = 0; i <= m; i++)
        for (int j = 0; j <= n; j++)
            c[i+j] += a[i]*b[j];
        return c;
}
```

Two representations of a more fundamental concept.

## Polynomials

The more fundamental concept is the modern view of polynomials.

- Polynomial: a function $[0 \mapsto 7, \ldots, 3 \mapsto 3,4 \mapsto \underline{0}, 5 \mapsto \underline{0}, \ldots]$

Let $R$ be a ring. A (univariate) polynomial over $R$ is a mapping $p: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow R, n \mapsto p_{n}$, such that $p_{n}=0$ nearly everywhere, i.e., for all but finitely many values of $n$.

- Elegant mathematics:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b \cdot\left\llcorner:\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow R\right) \times\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow R\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow R\right)\right. \\
& a \cdot b:=k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \mapsto \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}^{i+j=k} a_{i} \cdot b_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Polynomial ring: $R[x]$

The set of polynomials with $(+)$ and $(\cdot)$; variable $\times$ just denotes the polynomial $[0 \mapsto 0,1 \mapsto 1,2 \mapsto 0,3 \mapsto 0, \ldots]$.

See e.g. [Winkler, 1996].

## Multivariate Polynomials

What is a polynomial $3 x^{2} y+5 y z$ in variables $x, y, z$ ?

- Polynomial: a function $[(1,1,0) \mapsto 3,(0,1,1) \mapsto 5,(0,0,0) \mapsto 0, \ldots]$

An n-variate polynomial over the ring $R$ is a mapping $p: \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n} \rightarrow R,\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) \mapsto p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}$, such that $p_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}=0$ nearly everywhere.

- Polynomial ring: $R\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$

The set of all n-variate polynomials over $R$; variable $x_{i}$ denotes the polynomial $[\ldots, i \mapsto 1, \ldots]$.

- Isomorphism: $R\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \simeq\left(R\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right]\right)\left[x_{n}\right]$.

Recursive algorithms may be devised for many (not all) computational problems on multivariate polynomials.

- Polynomial division is defined on $K[x]$ where $K$ is a field.
- But $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right]$ is only a ring.
- Multivariate polynomials thus only support „pseudo-division ".


## Computer Representation

- Prune mapping:
- Represent only exponents/monomials with non-zero coefficients.
- Univariate polynomial representations:
- Dense: coefficient sequence $\left[c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]$
- Sparse: exponent/coeff. sequence $\left[\left(e_{0}, c_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(e_{r}, c_{r}\right)\right]$ with $e_{i}<e_{i+1}$.
- $n$-variate polynomial representations:
- Recursive: univariate polynomial whose coefficients are ( $n-1$ )-variate polynomials (represented densely or sparsely).
- Distributive: monomial/coefficient sequence $\left[\left(m_{0}, c_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(m_{r}, c_{r}\right)\right]$ (typically represented sparsely).
- Total order on monomials required for unique representation.
- Algorithmic efficiency:
- Recursive algorithms based on isomorphism operate most efficiently with recursive representation.
- Buchberger's Gröbner bases algorithm processes terms in any given "admissible" order and profits from distributive rep. in that order.
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## General Approach

abstract type ——Map from monomials to coefficients

- Elegantly define basic operations
- Conveniently express algorithms, theorems, and proofs
refinement
- Theorems are preserved
- Representation values can instantiate variables of abstract type.

Every abstract algorithm is executable with every representation type.
representations
recursive
distributive
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factor out dense \& sparse implementations
'a mpoly $\times$ monom-order $\downarrow$ Rep
$(($ nat $\Rightarrow 0$ nat $) \times$ 'a) list $\times$ monom-order
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- Algorithms change number of variables dynamically.
- No computation on types

$$
(' a, 4+3) \text { mpoly } \neq\left({ }^{\prime} a, 2+5\right) \text { mpoly }
$$

- Polynomials over an unbounded number of variables

Derived notion variable number: the highest index of a variable with non-zero coefficient.

Implicitly extend polynomials as needed.
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## Exploit the Representation in Abstract Algorithms

Example:

- Gröbner bases algorithm depends on a monomial order.
- Efficiency relies on fast access to leading monomial in that order.
'a mpoly vs. la mpoly x monom-order
- Algebraic type classes require uniqueness of polynomials.
- Algorithm receives representational details as parameter.
- If polynomial's representation fits to the parameter, execution is fast. Otherwise, convert polynomial ... or search ...
- No static checks, no efficiency guarantees!
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How can we make contextual information available?

- How can the user specify the representations?

$$
\text { value (2 :: int poly) * } 3
$$

Recursive or distributive? Dense or Sparse? Which monomial order?
In CAS, the user declares his choice as a configuration option.
Can we mimick this in Isabelle?
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BNF $\Rightarrow 0$ is not a BNF!
Must construct 'a poly-rec manually
Lifting Quotient theorem only for relations that respect zero No parametrised correspondence relations

Transfer Transfer rules must restrict function space $===>$ is too weak

Library Re-implement finite maps with the invariant $0 \notin$ ran $m$ How can we improve reuse?
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$\oplus$ Design seems good
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- Lemmas and algorithm implementations are still missing

Up for discussion:

- User-friendliness/convenience for the working mathematician.
- Control of representations
- Better integration with Isabelle packages

